arXiv:1406.3849v2 [math.PR] 13 Nov 2014

LOWER BOUNDS OF THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION FOR THE IMAGES OF FELLER PROCESSES

V. KNOPOVA, R.L. SCHILLING, AND J. WANG

ABSTRACT. Let $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a Feller process generated by a pseudo-differential operator whose symbol satisfies $\|p(\cdot,\xi)\|_\infty \leqslant c(1+|\xi|^2)$ and $p(\cdot,0)\equiv 0$. We prove that, for a large class of examples, the Hausdorff dimension of the set $\{X_t : t\in E\}$ for any analytic set $E\subset[0,\infty)$ is almost surely bounded below by $\delta_\infty \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E$, where

$$\delta_\infty := \sup\left\{\delta>0 : \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{\inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re} p(z,\xi)}{|\xi|^\delta} = \infty\right\}.$$

This, along with the upper bound $\beta_\infty \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E$ with

$$\beta_\infty := \inf\left\{\delta>0 : \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{\sup_{|\eta|\leqslant|\xi|}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d}|p(z,\eta)|}{|\xi|^\delta} = 0\right\}$$

established in Böttcher, Schilling and Wang (2014), extends the dimension estimates for Lévy processes of Blumenthal and Getoor (1961) and Millar (1971) to Feller processes.

Keywords: Feller process, pseudo-differential operator, symbol, Hausdorff dimension, Blumenthal–Getoor index

MSC 2010 *Primary:* 60G17. *Secondary:* 60J75; 60J25; 28A78; 35S05.

1. Background and Main Result

A *Feller process* $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ with state space $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a strong Markov process such that the associated operator semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$,

$$T_t u(x) = \mathbb{E}^x(u(X_t)), \qquad u\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),\ t\geqslant 0,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

($C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity) enjoys the Feller property, i.e. it maps $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into itself. A semigroup is said to be a *Feller semigroup*, if $(T_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a one-parameter semigroup of linear contraction operators $T_t : C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\to C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is strongly continuous: $\lim_{t\to 0}\|T_t u-u\|_\infty = 0$ for any $u\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and has the sub-Markov property: $0\leqslant T_t u\leqslant 1$ whenever $0\leqslant u\leqslant 1$. The infinitesimal generator $(A, D(A))$ of the semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ (or of the process $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$) is given by the strong limit

$$Au := \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{T_t u - u}{t}$$

on the set $D(A)\subset C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of all $u\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which the above limit exists with respect to the uniform norm.

V. Knopova: V. M. Glushkov Institute of Cybernetics NAS of Ukraine, 30187, Kiev, Ukraine. vic_knopova@gmx.de.

R.L. Schilling: TU Dresden, Institut für Mathematische Stochastik, 01062 Dresden, Germany. rene.schilling@tu-dresden.de.

J. Wang: School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University, 350007, Fuzhou, P.R. China. jianwang@fjnu.edu.cn.

Let $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of smooth functions with compact support. Under the assumption that the test functions $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are contained in $D(A)$, Ph. Courrège [6, Theorem 3.4] proved that the generator A restricted to $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a pseudo-differential operator,

$$Au(x) = -p(x,D)u(x) := -\int e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\, p(x,\xi)\, \hat{u}(\xi)\, d\xi, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

with *symbol* $p : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$, where $\hat{u}$ is the Fourier transform of u, i.e. $\hat{u}(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{-i\langle x,\xi\rangle} u(\xi)\, d\xi$. The symbol $p(x,\xi)$ is locally bounded in (x,ξ), measurable as a function of x, and for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ it is a continuous negative definite function in the co-variable. This is to say that it enjoys the following Lévy-Khintchine representation,

$$p(x,\xi) = c(x) - i\langle b(x),\xi\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, a(x)\xi\rangle + \int_{z\neq 0} \left(1 - e^{i\langle z,\xi\rangle} + i\langle z,\xi\rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|z|\leqslant 1\}}\right) \nu(x,dz), \tag{1.1}$$

where $(c(x), b(x), a(x), \nu(x,dz))_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ are the Lévy characteristics: $c(x)$ is a non-negative measurable function, $b(x) := (b_j(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable function, $a(x) := (a_{jk}(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is a nonnegative definite matrix-valued function, and $\nu(x,dz)$ is a nonnegative, σ-finite kernel on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\int_{z\neq 0}(1 \wedge |z|^2)\, \nu(x,dz) < +\infty$. For details and a comprehensive bibliography we refer to the monographs [10] by N. Jacob and the survey [3]. Since we will only consider the case where $c \equiv 0$, we will from now on use the Lévy triplet $(b(x), a(x), \nu(x,dz))$.

It is instructive to have a brief look at Lévy processes which are a particular but important subclass of Feller processes. Our standard reference for Lévy processes is the monograph by K. Sato [20]. A *Lévy process* $(Y_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a stochastically continuous random process with stationary and independent increments. The characteristic exponent (or symbol) $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ of a Lévy process is a continuous negative definite function, i.e. it is given by a Lévy-Khintchine formula of the form (1.1) with characteristics $(b, a, \nu(dz))$ which do not depend on x.

The notion of Hausdorff dimension is very useful in order to characterize the irregularity of stochastic processes. The Hausdorff dimension of the image sets of a Lévy process has been extensively studied, see [2, 19, 18] and also the survey papers [26, 27] for details. Recall that the *Hausdorff dimension* of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the unique number λ, where the λ-dimensional *Hausdorff measure* $\mathcal{H}^\lambda(A)$, defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^\lambda(A) = \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\operatorname{diam} A_n)^\lambda : A_n \text{ Borel}, \ \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \supset A \text{ and } \operatorname{diam} A_n \leqslant \varepsilon \right\},$$

changes from $+\infty$ to a finite value.

For the study of the Hausdorff dimension for the sample paths of Lévy processes, various indices were introduced in [2, Sections 2, 3 and 5]:

$$\beta'' = \sup\left\{\delta > 0 : \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\psi(\xi)}{|\xi|^\delta} = \infty\right\},$$

$$\beta = \inf\left\{\delta > 0 : \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{|\psi(\xi)|}{|\xi|^\delta} = 0\right\}.$$

The results for the Hausdorff dimension of the image sets of Lévy processes can be summarized as follows, see [2, 19, 18]:

Remark 1.1. Let $(Y_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a d-dimensional Lévy process with indices β'' and β given above. For every analytic set $E \subset [0,1]$ we have, almost surely

$$\min\{d, \beta''\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E\} \leqslant \dim_{\mathrm{H}} Y(E) \leqslant \min\{d, \beta\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E\}.$$

Now, we turn to the Hausdorff dimension for the image of a Feller processes. Throughout we will make the following assumptions on the symbol $p(x,\xi)$:

$$\|p(\cdot,\xi)\|_\infty \leqslant c(1+|\xi|^2) \qquad \text{and} \qquad p(\cdot,0)\equiv 0. \tag{1.2}$$

The first condition means that the generator has only bounded 'coefficients', see, e.g. [23, Lemma 2.1] or [24, Lemma 6.2]; the second condition implies then (if the first condition is satisfied) the Feller process is conservative in the sense that the life time of the process is almost surely infinite, see [22, Theorem 5.2].

We first recall the following upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension for the image of a Feller processes, which partly extends Remark 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. **(**[3, Theorem 5.15]**)** *Let $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a Feller process with the generator $(A, D(A))$ such that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset D(A)$, i.e. $A|_{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} = -p(\cdot, D)$ is a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $p(x,\xi)$. Assume that the symbol satisfies* (1.2)*. Then, for every bounded analytic set $E \subset [0,\infty)$,*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} X(E) \leqslant \min\{d, \beta_\infty \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E\} \tag{1.3}$$

holds almost surely, where the generalized Blumenthal–Getoor indices (at infinity) are given by

$$\beta_\infty := \inf\left\{\delta > 0 : \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{\sup_{|\eta|\leqslant|\xi|}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d}|p(z,\eta)|}{|\xi|^\delta} = 0\right\}.$$

Remark 1.3. (1) The index β_∞ coincides with the index $\beta_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ from [3, Remark 5.14b]. (2) The inequality (1.3) with $E=[0,1]$ can also be deduced from the variation of sample functions for Feller processes. Recall that, for a $p\in(0,\infty)$ and a function f defined on the interval $[0,T]$ and taking values in $\mathbb{R}^d$, its p-variation is given by

$$V_p(f,[0,T]) = \sup\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |f(t_{j+1})-f(t_j)|^p : 0=t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_m=T,\ m\geqslant 1\right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite subdivisions $0=t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_m=T$. Let $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a Feller process given in Theorem 1.2. Then, for any $p>\beta_\infty$,

$$\mathcal{H}^p X([0,1]) \leqslant 2^p V_p(X,[0,1]) < \infty$$

holds almost surely, which implies that $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} X([0,1]) \leqslant \beta_\infty$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the lower bound estimate for the Hausdorff dimension for the image of a Feller process. For this we need more assumptions on the Feller process. The main statement is as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a Feller process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the transition probability density $p(t,x,y)$, which satisfies*

$$p(t,x,y) \leqslant ct^{-d/\alpha}, \quad t\in(0,1], \quad x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.4}$$

for some $\alpha\in(0,2)$. Then, for any analytic set $E\subset[0,1]$ we have

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} X(E) \geqslant \big(\alpha\wedge d\big)\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E. \tag{1.5}$$

Let us give a few examples where the conditions of Theorem 1.4 are satisfied.

Example 1.5. a) For a Lévy process condition (1.4) is easy to check; for example, it holds true that the characteristic exponent ψ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\psi(\xi) \geqslant c|\xi|^\alpha, \quad |\xi| > 1. \tag{1.6}$$

b) For a symmetric Markov process condition (1.4) is equivalent to the following Nash type inequality

$$\|f\|^{2+2\alpha/d}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;dx)} \leqslant C\Big[D(f,f) + \delta\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;dx)}\Big]\|f\|^{2\alpha/d}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d;dx)}, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

for some positive constants C and δ, where $D(f,f) = -\langle f, Af\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d;dx)}$. See [10, Vol. II, Section 3.6], also the original paper [4] and [1, 25] for more recent developments. We refer to [5, Proposition II.1] for a proof (relying on functional inequalities) that general non-symmetric semigroups satisfy (1.4).

c) Sufficient conditions when a Lévy type process satisfies (1.4) are given in [12, 13], where the approach relies on the parametrix construction of a Markov process.

Consider the triplet

$$(b(x), 0, m(x,z)\,\mu(dz)) \tag{1.7}$$

where the functions $b(\cdot)$ and $m(\cdot,z)$ are bounded and Hölder continuous with $m(x,z) \geqslant c > 0$ for all x, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$; μ is a Lévy measure: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1\wedge|z|^2)\,\mu(dz) < \infty$, moreover it satisfies the following condition: There exists $\beta > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\ell\in\mathbb{S}^d} q^U(r\ell) \leqslant \beta \inf_{\ell\in\mathbb{S}^d} q^L(r\ell), \quad r \geqslant 1, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathbb{S}^d$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$q^U(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1\wedge\langle\xi,z\rangle^2)\mu(dz), \quad q^L(\xi) := \int_{|\langle\xi,z\rangle|\leqslant 1}\langle\xi,z\rangle^2\mu(dz).$$

Note that the Lévy–Khintchine exponent

$$q(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1-\cos\langle\xi,z\rangle)\mu(dz),$$

always satisfies the inequalities $(1-\cos 1)q^L(\xi) \leqslant q(\xi) \leqslant 2q^U(\xi)$; moreover, we have for large $|\xi|$ the relations

$$q(\xi) \asymp q^L(\xi) \asymp q^U(\xi), \quad |\xi| > 1,$$

i.e. the exponent does not oscillate "too much". In particular, condition (1.8) on the Lévy measure $\mu(dz)$ together with the boundedness of $m(x,z)$ implies that

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re} p(x,\xi) \geqslant c|\xi|^\alpha, \quad |\xi| > 1, \tag{1.9}$$

holds for $\alpha = 2/\beta \in (0,2)$ and $c > 0$, see [11, 13]. It was proved in [13] that starting with the Lévy characteristics as in (1.7) there exists a Feller process $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ associated with symbol $p(x,\xi)$ given by (1.1) and, moreover, this process $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ possesses a transition probability density $p(t,x,y)$. Similar conditions (for a slightly different Lévy triplet) are given in [12].

d) Condition (1.4) holds true for the transition probability density of the stable-like process associated with the Lévy triplet $(0, 0, |z|^{-1-\alpha(x)}\, d|z|\, \tilde{\mu}(x, d\ell))$, where $\ell := z/|z|$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$; here, the index function $\alpha(x)$ and the kernel $\tilde{\mu}(x, d\ell)$ are bounded and continuous such that

$$C_1 \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |(v, \ell)|^{\alpha(x)} \tilde{\mu}(x, d\ell) \leqslant C_2, \quad v \in \mathbb{S}^d, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

holds with some positive constants C_1 and C_2, see [14, Theorem 5.1]. In this case, α in (1.4) is equal to $\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha(x)$.

Remark 1.6. Note that in the cases a), c) and d) (cf. Example 1.5) the characteristic exponent and the symbol satisfy, respectively, (1.6) and (1.9) where, for d), $\alpha = \min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \alpha(x)$. This allows to state the lower bound in terms of the Blumenthal–Getoor index:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} X(E) \geqslant \big(\delta_\infty \wedge d\big) \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E,$$

where

$$\delta_\infty := \sup\left\{\delta > 0 : \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{\inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re} p(z, \xi)}{|\xi|^\delta} = \infty\right\}$$

is the generalized Blumenthal–Getoor index at infinity. This index coincides with the index $\delta_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ from [3, Remark 5.14b].

2. Proofs

We begin with the

Proof of Remark 1.3 (2). According to [16, Theorem 1.3] and [17, Theorem 3], we know that *if there exist two positive constants r_0 and C such that for all $t > 0$ and $0 < r < r_0$,*

$$a(t, r) := \sup_{0<s\leqslant t,\, x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}^x\big(|X_s - x| \geqslant r\big) \leqslant C t^\beta r^{-\alpha}$$

with two constants $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > (3 - e)/(e - 1)$, then for any $p > \alpha/\beta$, the p-variation of the sample function $(X_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is finite almost surely. It is clear that for any t, $r > 0$,

$$a(t, r) \leqslant \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}^x\big(\sup_{s\leqslant t} |X_s - x| \geqslant r\big).$$

Applying [3, Corollary 5.2] yields that

$$a(t, r) \leqslant c\, t \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{|\xi|\leqslant 1/r} |p(x, \xi)|$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$. By the very definition of β_∞, for any $p > \beta_\infty$, there exists an r_0 small enough such that for any $0 < r \leqslant r_0$,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{|\xi|\leqslant 1/r} |p(x, \xi)| \leqslant r^{-p},$$

which proves the finiteness of the p-variation because of Manstavičius' results mentioned earlier. The remaining part of the proof immediately follows from [2, Theorem 8.4]. □

The proof of Theorem 1.4 relies on several results, which for the reader's convenience we quote below. First, define the λ-capacity of a Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ as follows:

$$\mathrm{Cap}_\lambda(B) := \left(\inf \Big\{ \int_B \int_B |x-y|^{-\lambda} \varpi(dy)\varpi(dx) \,:\, \varpi \in \mathcal{M}_1^+(B) \Big\} \right)^{-1} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_1^+(B)$ denotes the probability measures with support in B. We need the following version of Frostman's lemma, see [8, Theorem 4.13] or the original paper [9].

Lemma 2.1. *If $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a closed set with strictly positive Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^\lambda(F) > 0$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then $\mathrm{Cap}_{\lambda'}(F) > 0$ for all $\lambda' < \lambda$.*

The lemma below is taken from [2, Lemma 2.2], see also [15].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable function on a metric space $(\mathcal{X}, d(\cdot,\cdot))$, and $E \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a Borel set. If there exists a probability measure $\varpi \in \mathcal{M}_1^+(E)$ such that*

$$\int_E \int_E |f(x) - f(y)|^{-\lambda} \varpi(dx)\varpi(dy) < \infty \tag{2.11}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, then $\mathcal{H}^\lambda(f(E)) > 0$.

Let $(Y_t)_{t \geqslant 0}$ be a Markov process in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$\beta'(Y,x) := \sup \left\{ \lambda \geqslant 0 : \ \mathbb{E}^x\big(|Y_t - Y_s|^{-\lambda}\big) = O(|t-s|^{-1}) \quad \text{as } t-s \to 0 \right\}, \tag{2.12}$$

This index was introduced in [21].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(Y_t)_{t \geqslant 0}$ be a Markov process with values in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $E \subset [0,1]$ be an analytic set with Hausdorff dimension $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E$. Then,*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} Y(E) \geqslant \beta'(Y,x) \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E \quad \mathbb{P}^x\text{-a.s.} \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Let $0 < \lambda < \beta'(Y,x)$ and $0 < \alpha < \alpha' < \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E$. We find, using Jensen's inequality for concave functions, that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^x |Y_t - Y_s|^{-\lambda\alpha} \leqslant \big(\mathbb{E}^x |Y_t - Y_s|^{-\lambda}\big)^\alpha \leqslant C|t-s|^{-\alpha}, \quad |t-s| \leqslant 1. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $\alpha' < \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha'}(E) = \infty$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha'}(E)$ is the Hausdorff measure of E with dimension α'. We will use the following result, proved in [7, p. 489, Corollary]: *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an analytic set with $\mathcal{H}^\lambda(A) = \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Then for any $r > 0$ there exists a closed subset $F_r \subset A$ such that $\mathcal{H}^\lambda(F_r) \geqslant r$.* By this, there exists a closed set $F \subset E$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha'}(F) > 0$, which implies by Lemma 2.1 that $\mathrm{Cap}_\alpha(F) > 0$ for all $\alpha < \alpha'$. By the definition of the capacity, there exists a probability measure with support on F such that

$$\int_F \int_F |t-s|^{-\alpha} \mu(dt)\mu(ds) < \infty.$$

Thus, the above inequality, together with (2.14) and the Fubini theorem, gives us

$$\mathbb{E}^x \left(\int_F \int_F |Y_t - Y_s|^{-\alpha\lambda} \mu(dt)\mu(ds) \right) < \infty,$$

which in turn yields

$$\int_F \int_F |Y_t - Y_s|^{-\alpha\lambda} \mu(dt)\mu(ds) < \infty \quad \mathbb{P}^x\text{-a.s.}$$

According to Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\Lambda^{\alpha\lambda}(Y(E,\omega)) \geqslant \Lambda^{\alpha\lambda}(Y(F,\omega)) > 0 \quad \mathbb{P}^x\text{-a.s.}$$

Therefore, we derive the statement of the lemma by passing to the limit as $\alpha \uparrow \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E$ and $\lambda \uparrow \beta'(Y,x)$. □

Now, we are in a position to present the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the strong Markov property, we have for any $\lambda < d \wedge \alpha$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < s < t$ with $t - s \leqslant 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^x|X_t - X_s|^{-\lambda} &= \mathbb{E}^x\left[\mathbb{E}^{X_s}|X_{t-s} - X_0|^{-\lambda}\right] \leqslant \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}^z|X_{t-s} - z|^{-\lambda} \\
&= \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}^z\left[\int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_{t-s}-z|^{-\lambda}>u\}}\, du\right] \\
&\leqslant \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}^z\left[\int_{(t-s)^{-\lambda/\alpha}}^\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_{t-s}-z|^{-\lambda}>u\}}\, du\right] + (t-s)^{-\lambda/\alpha} \\
&= \lambda \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}^z\left[\int_0^{(t-s)^{1/\alpha}} u^{-\lambda-1}\mathbb{1}_{\{|X_{t-s}-z|<u\}}\, du\right] + (t-s)^{-\lambda/\alpha} \\
&\leqslant \lambda \int_0^{(t-s)^{1/\alpha}} u^{-\lambda-1} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}^z(|X_{t-s} - z| \leqslant u)\, du + (t-s)^{-\lambda/\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to (1.4), there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $0 < u \leqslant (t-s)^{1/\alpha}$,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}^z(|X_{t-s} - z| \leqslant u) \leqslant C(t-s)^{-d/\alpha}u^d.$$

This, along with the inequality above and the fact that $\lambda < d \wedge \alpha$, yields that

$$\mathbb{E}^x|X_t - X_s|^{-\lambda} \leqslant \left(\frac{C\lambda}{d-\lambda} + 1\right)(t-s)^{-\lambda/\alpha} \leqslant \left(\frac{C\lambda}{d-\lambda} + 1\right)(t-s)^{-1}.$$

Thus, we have the lower estimate for the index $\beta'(X,x)$ defined in (2.12):

$$\beta'(X,x) \geqslant \lambda \quad \mathbb{P}^x\text{-a.s.}$$

Letting $\lambda \to d \wedge \alpha$ in the inequality above, we prove (1.5) by Lemma 2.3. □

Remark 2.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 shows that we can replace the condition (1.4) by the following assumptions

(2.15)

$$\exists c \geqslant 1,\ \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ 0 < u, t \leqslant 1\ :\ \mathbb{P}^z\left(|X_t - z| \leqslant u\right) \leqslant c\mathbb{P}^z\left(\sup_{0<r\leqslant t} |X_r - z| \leqslant cu\right),$$

(2.16) $\quad \exists \kappa > 0,\ \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d\ :\ |\operatorname{Im} p(x,\xi)| \leqslant \kappa \operatorname{Re} p(x,\xi).$ (sector condition)

If (2.15) and (2.16) are satisfied, we can replace α in the proof by the index δ^*_∞ defined by

$$\delta^*_\infty := \sup\left\{\delta > 0 : \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{\sup_{|\eta|\leqslant|\xi|} \inf_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re} p(z,\eta)}{|\xi|^\delta} = \infty\right\},$$

and we find using the second maximal estimate for Feller processes, cf. [3, Corollary 5.6, p. 116], for $\lambda < \lambda' < d \wedge \delta_\infty^*$ and $0 < s < t$ with $t - s < 1$

$$\mathbb{P}^z\left(\sup_{0<r\leqslant t-s}|X_r - z| \leqslant cu\right) \leqslant c'\left((t-s)\sup_{|\xi|\leqslant 1/(2\kappa cu)}\inf_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\operatorname{Re} p(y,\xi)\right)^{-1} \leqslant \frac{c''u^{\lambda'}}{(t-s)}.$$

Thus we get that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < s < t$ with $t - s < 1$

$$\mathbb{E}^x|X_t - X_s|^{-\lambda} \leqslant \left(\frac{cc''\lambda}{\lambda' - \lambda} + 1\right)(t-s)^{-1},$$

and the rest of the argument is as before.

Acknowledgement. We thank the referee for careful reading of this paper. Financial support through the Scholarship for Young Scientists 2012-2014, Ukraine (for Victoria Knopova), DFG (grant Schi 419/8-1) (for René L. Schilling), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11201073) and the Program for Nonlinear Analysis and Its Applications (No. IRTL1206) (for Jian Wang) are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] Bendikov, A. and Maheux, P.: Nash-type inequalities for fractional powers of non-negative self-adjoint operators, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), 3085–3097.
[2] Blumenthal, R.M. and Getoor, R.K.: Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary independent increments, *J. Math. Mech.* **10** (1961), 493–516.
[3] Böttcher, B., Schilling, R.L. and Wang, J.: *Lévy-Type Processes: Construction, Approximation and Sample Path Properties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **2099**, Lévy Matters III, Springer, Berlin 2014.
[4] Carlen, E. A., Kusuoka, S. and Stroock, D. W.: Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **23** (1987), 245–287.
[5] Coulhon, T.: Ultracontractivity and Nash type inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **141** (1996), 510–539.
[6] Courrège, Ph.: Sur la forme intégro-différentielle des opérateus de C_K^∞ dans C satisfaisant au principe du maximum, *Sém. Théorie du Potentiel* (1965/66) exposé **2**, 38 pp.
[7] Davies, R.O.: Subsets of finite measure in analytic sets, *Indagationes Math.* **14** (1952), 488–489.
[8] Falconer, K. J.: *The Geometry of Fractal Sets*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1985.
[9] Frostman, O.: Potential d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles avec quelques applications à la théorie des fonctions, *Meddel. Lunds Univ. Math. Sem.* **3** (1935), 1–118.
[10] Jacob, N.: *Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes* (3 vols), Imperial College Press, London 2001, 2002, 2005.
[11] Knopova, V.: Compound kernel estimates for the transition probability density of a Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^n$, to appear in *Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat.*, also see arXiv: 1310.7081.
[12] Knopova, V. and Kulik, A.: Parametrix construction for certain Lévy-type processes and applications, see arXiv: 1307.3087.
[13] Knopova, V. and Kulik, A.: Intrinsic compound kernel estimates for the transition probability density of a Lévy type processes and their applications, see arXiv: 1308.0310.
[14] Kolokoltsov, V.N.: Symmetric stable laws and stable-like jump-diffusions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **3** (2000), 725–768.
[15] McKean, H.P.: Hausdorff-Besicovitch dimension of Brownian motion paths, *Duke Math. J.* **22** (1955), 165–331.
[16] Manstavičius, M.: p-Variation of strong Markov processes, *Ann. Probab.* **32** (2004), 2053–2066.
[17] Manstavičius, M.: A non-Markovian process with unbounded p-variation, *Elect. Comm. in Probab.* **10** (2005), 17–28.

[18] Millar, P.W.: Path behavior of processes with stationary independent increments, *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb.* **17** (1971), 53–73.
[19] Pruitt, W.E.: The Hausdorff dimension of the range of a process with stationary independent increments, *Indiana J. Math.* **19** (1970), 371–378.
[20] Sato, K.: *Lévy processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1999.
[21] Schilling, R.L.: *Zum Pfadverhalten von Markovschen Prozessen, die mit Lévy-Prozessen vergleichbar sind*, PhD Dissertation, 1994.
[22] Schilling, R.L.: Conservativeness and extensions of Feller semigroups, *Positivity* **2** (1998), 239–256.
[23] Schilling, R.L.: Growth and Hölder conditions for the sample paths of Feller processes, *Probab. Theor. Rel. Fields* **112** (1998), 565–611.
[24] Schilling, R.L. and Schnurr, A.: The symbol associated with the solution of a stochastic differential equation, *Elect. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), 1369–1393.
[25] Schilling, R.L. and Wang, J.: Functional inequalities and subordination: stability of Nash and Poincaré inequalities, *Math. Z.* **272** (2012), 921–936.
[26] Taylor, S.J.: The measure theory of random fractals, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **100** (1986), 383–406.
[27] Xiao, Y.M.: Random fractals and Markov processes, *Fractal Geometry and Applications: A Jubilee of Benoit Mandelbrot*, (Michel L. Lapidus and Machiel van Frankenhuijsen, editors) pp. 261–338, American Mathematical Society, 2004.